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#### Abstract

This note studies the approximation error of a local projection operator on polynomials of total degree $k$ defined on quadrilaterals. Among other applications, this projection operator permits to derive easily error estimates for quadrature formulas.


## 1. Introduction

Let $T$ denote a convex and nondegenerate quadrilateral (i.e., not reduced to a triangle) and let $k$ be a nonnegative integer. We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ the set of polynomials in two variables of total degree $k$, i.e., spanned by all products of the form $x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}}$ with $0 \leq i_{1} \leq k, 0 \leq i_{2} \leq k$ and $i_{1}+i_{2} \leq k$. Then, for any function $u$ in $L^{1}(T)$, we define its local projection $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u) \in \mathbb{P}_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad \int_{T} \widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u) r d \mathbf{x}=\int_{T} u r d \mathbf{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (1) defines uniquely $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)$, but deriving error estimates for this operator is not altogether straightforward, because the polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ is well adapted to triangular finite elements but not to quadrilateral finite elements. Indeed, in the case of quadrilateral finite elements, the polynomials are first defined on the reference square $\widehat{T}=[0,1]^{2}$ in the reference $\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}\right)$-space and they belong to the space $\widehat{Q}_{k}$ of polynomials of degree $k$ in each variable, i.e., spanned by all products of the form $\hat{x}_{1}^{i_{1}} \hat{x}_{2}^{i_{2}}$ with $0 \leq i_{1} \leq k$ and $0 \leq i_{2} \leq k$. Then they are transformed into functions (generally, not polynomials) defined on $T$ by a transformation that maps $T$ onto $\widehat{T}$. More precisely, as $T$ is convex and nondegenerate, there exists an invertible bilinear mapping $F_{T}$ that maps $\widehat{T}$ onto $T$ (cf. Ciarlet [2]); then we define the function space $\mathscr{Q}_{k}(T)$ by

$$
\mathscr{Q}_{k}(T)=\left\{q=\hat{q} \circ F_{T}^{-1} ; \forall \hat{q} \in \widehat{Q}_{k}\right\}
$$

It turns out that this is the "good" space for interpolating functions on quadrilaterals because, unlike the space $\mathbb{P}_{k}$, it yields optimal interpolation error estimates. We refer to Ciarlet [2] for a study of these quadrilateral finite element spaces and isoparametric finite element spaces in general.

[^0]However, because $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$ is a projection operator, and thus minimizes the $L^{2}$ norm, it does satisfy optimal error estimates. To my knowledge, the first $L^{2}$ estimate for this operator was established in Girault and Raviart [5], following an original idea of C. Bernardi, where it was applied to analyze the $\mathscr{Q}_{k}-\mathbb{P}_{k-1}$ element for the Stokes problem. The purpose of the present paper is to extend the above result first to $L^{p}$, and next to $W^{1, p}$ estimates. As an application, we shall use this projection operator to show in particular that, when $k=1$, the four-point quadrature rule is of order one, a result very difficult to establish otherwise on an arbitrary quadrilateral (cf. Ciarlet and Raviart [3] and Raviart [8]).

We conclude this introduction by recalling some notations and properties of Sobolev spaces that we shall use further on; they can be found in Adams [1] or Nečas [7]. Let $\Omega$ denote a bounded and connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. For any nonnegative integer $k$ and number $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, recall the standard Sobolev space

$$
W^{k, p}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in L^{p}(\Omega) ; \partial^{\alpha} v \in L^{p}(\Omega) \text { for } 0 \leq|\alpha| \leq k\right\}
$$

where $\alpha$ denotes any pair of nonnegative integers $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right), \partial^{\alpha} v=$ $\partial^{|\alpha|} v / \partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. It is a Banach space for the norm

$$
\|v\|_{W^{k, p}(\Omega)}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{k} \sum_{\alpha}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} v\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

with the usual modification when $p=\infty$. When $p=2$, this space is denoted simply by $H^{k}(\Omega)$. We also define the seminorm

$$
|v|_{W^{k, p}(\Omega)}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} v\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

By interpolating between two consecutive values of $k$, we can extend the definition of Sobolev spaces to noninteger values of $k$ (cf. Lions and Magenes [6]).

Finally, we recall a fundamental result on polynomial interpolation. On any bounded domain $K$, for any nonnegative integers $k$ and $l$, the polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ is contained in $W^{l, p}(K)$ and we can define the quotient space $W^{l, p}(K) / \mathbb{P}_{k}$, which is also a Banach space equipped with the quotient norm

$$
\forall \dot{v} \in W^{l, p}(K) / \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad\|\dot{v}\|_{W^{l, p}(K) / \mathbb{P}_{k}}=\inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}}\|v+r\|_{W^{l, p}(K)}
$$

This quotient space has the following property proved by Deny and Lions [4] (cf. also Nečas [7]).
Theorem 1. Assume that $K$ is a bounded and connected open set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. For each integer $k \geq 0$ and number $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\forall \dot{v} \in W^{k+1, p}(K) / \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad\|\dot{v}\|_{W^{k+1, p}(K) / \mathbb{P}_{k}} \leq C|v|_{W^{k+1, p}(K)}
$$

## 2. An $L^{p}$-estimate

To simplify the discussion and avoid the technical difficulties related to curved boundaries, we assume from now on that $\Omega$ is a polygonal domain.


Figure 1
Let $h$ be a parameter that will tend to zero, and for each value of $h$, let $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be a quadrangulation of $\bar{\Omega}$ made of convex and nondegenerate quadrilaterals, with diameter bounded by $h$. Let $T$ be one of these quadrilaterals, let $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ be its vertices, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and let $S_{i}$ denote its subtriangle with vertices $\mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}$, the indices being numbered modulo four, as in Figure 1. Let $h_{i}$ be the diameter of $S_{i}$ and $\rho_{i}$ the diameter of its inscribed circle. We set

$$
h_{T}=\sup _{1 \leq i \leq 4} h_{i}, \quad \rho_{T}=2 \inf _{1 \leq i \leq 4} \rho_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{T}=\frac{h_{T}}{\rho_{T}} .
$$

Clearly, $h_{T}$ is the diameter of $T$ and $\sigma_{T}$ is a measure of the nondegeneracy of $T$.

In order to study $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$, it will be useful to introduce the reference unit triangle $\widetilde{S}_{1}$ with vertices $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{1}=\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1}=(0,0), \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{2}=\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{2}=(1,0), \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{4}=\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{4}=(0,1)$, and the affine invertible mapping

$$
F_{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=B_{S} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{b}
$$

defined by $F_{S}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{i}\right)=\mathbf{a}_{i}$ for $i=1,2,4$. (For strictly consistent notations, we should denote this mapping by $F_{S_{1}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$, but for the sake of simplicity, we agree to drop the index on $S$.) As $T$ is nondegenerate, this mapping is unique and it maps $\widetilde{S}_{1}$ onto $S_{1}$. Furthermore, the matrix $B_{S}$ satisfies the following bounds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|B_{S}\right\| \leq \frac{h_{1}}{2-\sqrt{2}}<2 h_{1} \leq 2 h_{T}, \quad\left\|B_{S}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho_{1}} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\rho_{T}} \\
& \left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|=2 \operatorname{meas}\left(S_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\pi}{8} \rho_{T}^{2}<\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} h_{T}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we set $\widetilde{S}_{3}=F_{S}^{-1}\left(S_{3}\right)$ and we associate with $T$ an auxiliary reference quadrilateral, $\widetilde{T}$, which generally does not coincide with the reference square $\widehat{T}$, but is convex because $T$ is convex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}=\widetilde{S}_{1} \cup \widetilde{S}_{3}=F_{S}^{-1}(T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we set $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{3}=F_{S}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right)$, and the diameter $h_{\widetilde{T}}$ of $\widetilde{T}$ satisfies

$$
h_{\widetilde{T}} \leq\left\|B_{S}^{-1}\right\| h_{T} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \sigma_{T}
$$

As $\tilde{T}$ is a convex and nondegenerate quadrilateral, there exists a (unique) invertible bilinear mapping $F_{\widetilde{T}}$ that maps the unit square $\widehat{T}$ onto $\widetilde{T}$ and such that for $1 \leq i \leq 4$,

$$
F_{\widetilde{T}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i}\right)=\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{i},
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{3}=(1,1)$. It is interesting to observe that

$$
F_{S} \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}=F_{T}
$$

where $F_{T}$ is the bilinear mapping (mentioned in the introduction) satisfying $\mathbf{a}_{i}=F_{T}\left(\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i}\right)$. Let $J_{T}$ and $J_{\widetilde{T}}$ denote respectively the Jacobians of $F_{T}$ and $F_{\widetilde{T}}$; we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|J_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}=2 \sup _{1 \leq i \leq 4} \operatorname{meas}\left(S_{i}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} h_{T}^{2}, \\
\left\|J_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)}=\frac{1}{2 \inf _{1 \leq i \leq 4} \operatorname{meas}\left(S_{i}\right)}<\frac{8}{\pi \rho_{T}^{2}}, \\
\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}=\sup _{1 \leq i \leq 4} \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(S_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{meas}\left(S_{1}\right)}<\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sigma_{T}^{2}, \\
\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{T})}=\frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(S_{1}\right)}{\inf _{1 \leq i \leq 4} \operatorname{meas}\left(S_{i}\right)}<\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sigma_{T}^{2}, \\
\left\|D F_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})} \leq C_{1} h_{T}, \quad\left\|D F_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})} \leq C_{2} h_{\widetilde{T}} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}, \\
\left\|D F_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)} \leq C_{4} \frac{\sigma_{T}}{\rho_{T}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Our first lemma shows that the operator $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$ is stable in $L^{p}(T)$.
Lemma 2. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and any number $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$, independent of the geometry of $T$, such that

$$
\forall u \in L^{p}(T), \quad\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leq C \sigma_{T}^{4}\|u\|_{L^{p}(T)}
$$

Proof. Make the change of variable $\mathbf{x}=F_{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ in definition (1). As the mapping $F_{S}$ is affine, we have

$$
\forall r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right| \int_{\widetilde{T}}\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-u\right) \circ F_{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) r \circ F_{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) d \tilde{\mathbf{x}}=0
$$

But both the space $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ and the operator $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$ are invariant by affine transformation, i.e., setting $\tilde{u}=u \circ F_{S}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u) \circ F_{S}=\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})
$$

Hence, we can write

$$
\forall r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad \int_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{I} \widetilde{T}(\tilde{u})-\tilde{u}) r d \tilde{\mathbf{x}}=0
$$

Therefore, choosing $r=\widetilde{I} \widetilde{T}(\tilde{u})$ and letting $q$ denote the conjugate exponent of $p, 1 / p+1 / q=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{I} \widetilde{T}(\tilde{u})\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{T})}^{2} \leq\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}\left\|\widetilde{I_{T}} \underset{\widetilde{T}}{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{q}(\widetilde{T})} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\left\|\widetilde{I} \widetilde{T} \frac{k}{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{q}(\widetilde{T})} \leq\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / q}\left\|\widetilde{I_{\tilde{T}}} \frac{k}{k}(\tilde{u}) \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{q}(\widehat{T})},
$$

and $\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u}) \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}$ belongs to $\widehat{Q}_{k}$, a finite-dimensional space on which all norms are equivalent. Hence, there exists a constant $C_{1}$ which depends only on the geometry of $\widehat{T}$ and on the degree $k$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{q}(\widetilde{T})} & \leq C_{1}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / q}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u}) \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\widehat{T})} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / q}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{T})}^{1 / 2}\left\|\widetilde{I_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{T})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for any real number $q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{q}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{2} \sigma_{T}^{2(1 / q+1 / 2)}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{T})} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4) into (3), first with $q$ and next with $p$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{I_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4}\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} & =\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{\widetilde{T}}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \\
& \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{-1 / p}\|u\|_{L^{p}(T)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. For any integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$ with $l \leq k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$, independent of the geometry of $T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in W^{l, p}(T), \quad\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leq C \sigma_{T}^{l(4 / p+1)+4} h_{T}^{l}|u|_{W^{l, p}(T)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2, it suffices to consider the case where $l \geq 1$. Here again, we can write

$$
\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\left\|\tilde{u}-\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}
$$

But since the operator $\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}$ preserves all polynomials in $\mathbb{P}_{k}$, we have for any $r$ in $\mathbb{P}_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{u}-\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u})\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} & =\left\|\tilde{u}-r-\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u}-r)\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq\|\tilde{u}-r\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}+\left\|\widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{T}}^{k}(\tilde{u}-r)\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \\
& \leq\left(1+C_{1} \sigma_{T}^{4}\right)\|\tilde{u}-r\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ denotes the constant of (5). Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leq\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\left(1+C_{1} \sigma_{T}^{4}\right)\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It would be tempting to apply Theorem 1 to the right-hand side of (7), but we cannot do it directly here, because $\widetilde{T}$ is a variable quadrilateral and the constant of Theorem 1 depends upon the geometry of the set. Therefore, we must switch to the reference element $\widehat{T}$. Consider first the case where $k=0$ and which is the only case where $\widehat{Q}_{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ coincide on $\widehat{T}$, since they both consist of constants. Thus, applying Theorem 1 in $\widehat{T}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{r \in \mathbb{R}}\|\tilde{u}+r\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} & \leq\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / p} \inf _{r \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\tilde{u} \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}+r\right\|_{L^{p}(\widehat{T})} \leq C_{2}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / p}\left|\tilde{u} \circ F_{\widetilde{T}}\right|_{W^{1, p}(\widehat{T})} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / p}\left\|J_{\widetilde{T}}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{T})}^{1 / p}\left\|D F_{\widetilde{T}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{0}} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4 / p+1}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall extend (8) to any integer $k$ by induction. Assume that for any integer $j \leq l-2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{j}} \leq C \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1)(j+1)}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let us prove that (9) is valid for $j+1$. We use the decomposition

$$
\mathbb{P}_{j+1}=\mathbb{P}_{j} \oplus \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}
$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}$ denotes the polynomial space spanned by the $j+2$ terms $x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j+1-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j+1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}}\|\tilde{u}+r\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} & =\inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{j}, r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}}\left\|\tilde{u}+r+r^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \\
& =\inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}} \inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{j}}\left\|\left(\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right)+r\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \\
& \leq C_{4} \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1)(j+1)} \inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}}\left|\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})},
\end{aligned}
$$

owing to the induction hypothesis. But

$$
\left|\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j+1}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \tilde{u}+c_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for real constants $c_{\alpha}$. Hence,

$$
\inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}}\left|\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j+1} \inf _{c \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \tilde{u}+c\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Now, (8) yields

$$
\inf _{c \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \tilde{u}+c\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4 / p+1}\left|\partial^{\alpha} \tilde{u}\right|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4 / p+1}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+2, p}(\widetilde{T})}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{j+1}} \leq C_{5} \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1)(j+2)}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+2, p}(\widetilde{T})},
$$

and (9) is proved by induction. It remains to substitute (9) into (7) with $k=$ $j+1=l$ and switch back to $T$ :

$$
\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leq\left(1+C_{1} \sigma_{T}^{4}\right) C \sigma_{T}^{l(4 / p+1)}\left\|B_{S}\right\|^{l}|u|_{W^{l, p}(T)},
$$

thereby proving (6).

To derive a global estimate from (6), we assume that the family of quadrangulations $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular as $h$ tends to zero: there exists a constant $\sigma$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\forall T \in \mathscr{T}_{h}, \quad \sigma_{T} \leq \sigma
$$

Then we immediately derive the following corollary.
Corollary 4. Assume that $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular. For any integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$ with $l \leq k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\forall u \in W^{l, p}(\Omega), \quad\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C h^{l}|u|_{W^{l, p}(\Omega)}
$$

## 3. A $W^{1, p}$-estimate

The next lemma shows that $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$ is stable in $W^{1, p}(T)$.
Lemma 5. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and any number $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$, independent of the geometry of $T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in W^{1, p}(T), \quad\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq C \sigma_{T}^{6 / p+7}|u|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The result is trivial for $k=0$, since $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)$ is a constant. Therefore, we can assume that $k \geq 1$ and we write
$\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}+\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}=\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}$, as $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)$ is a constant. Now switch to the reference element $\widehat{T}$ :

$$
\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq\left\|J_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / p}\left\|D F_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)}\left|\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right) \circ F_{T}\right|_{W^{1, p}(\widehat{T})}
$$

Since $\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right) \circ F_{T}$ belongs to the finite-dimensional space $\widehat{Q}_{k}$ on $\widehat{T}$, the equivalence of norms yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right) \circ F_{T}\right|_{W^{1, p}(\widehat{T})} & \leq C_{1}\left\|\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right) \circ F_{T}\right\|_{L^{p}(\widehat{T})} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\|J_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)}^{1 / p}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{1}\left\|J_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\widehat{T})}^{1 / p}\left\|J_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)}^{1 / p}\left\|D F_{T}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(T)}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{2} \sigma_{T}^{2 / p+1} \frac{1}{\rho_{T}}\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} & \leq C_{2} \sigma_{T}^{2 / p+1} \frac{1}{\rho_{T}}\left(\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)-u\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}+\left\|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{0}(u)-u\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}\right) \\
& \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{6 / p+7}|u|_{W^{1, p}(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying (6) with $l=1$.

Theorem 6. For any integers $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$ which depends on $\sigma_{T}$ but is otherwise independent of the geometry of $T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in W^{l, p}(T), \quad\left|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq C h_{T}^{l-1}|u|_{W^{l, p}(T)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}$ preserves all polynomials in $\mathbb{P}_{k}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, \quad\left|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} & =\left|u-r-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u-r)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \\
& \leq\left(1+C_{1} \sigma_{T}^{6 / p+7}\right)|u-r|_{W^{1, p}(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the constant of $(10)$. Now the proof follows the lines of Theorem 3:

$$
\inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}}|u-r|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq\left|\operatorname{det}\left(B_{S}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\left\|B_{S}^{-1}\right\| \inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{k}}|\tilde{u}-r|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} .
$$

Obviously,

$$
|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{0}}=|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})},
$$

and we shall prove an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ by induction. To this end, assume that for any integer $j \leq l-2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{j}} \leq C \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1) j}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}}|\tilde{u}+r|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} & =\inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}} \inf _{r \in \mathbb{P}_{j}}\left|\left(\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right)+r\right|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T})} \\
& \leq C_{2} \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1) j} \inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}}\left|\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the induction hypothesis. But we have shown in the proof of Theorem 3 that

$$
\inf _{r^{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{j+1}^{*}}\left|\tilde{u}+r^{*}\right|_{W^{j+1, p}(\widetilde{T})} \leq C_{3} \sigma_{T}^{4 / p+1}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+2, p}(\widetilde{T})}
$$

Therefore,

$$
|\tilde{u}|_{W^{1, p}(\widetilde{T}) / \mathbb{P}_{j+1}} \leq C_{4} \sigma_{T}^{(4 / p+1)(j+1)}|\tilde{u}|_{W^{j+2, p}(\widetilde{T})},
$$

and (12) is proved by induction. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} & \leq C_{5} \sigma_{T}^{6 / p+7} \sigma_{T}^{(l-1)(4 / p+1)} \frac{1}{\rho_{T}} h_{T}^{l}|u|_{W^{l, p}(T)} \\
& \leq C_{5} \sigma_{T}^{l(4 / p+1)+2 / p+7} h_{T}^{l-1}|u|_{W^{l, p}(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

As an immediate application, we have the global estimate:
Corollary 7. Assume that $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular. For any integers $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq$ $k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\forall u \in W^{l, p}(\Omega), \quad\left(\sum_{T \in \mathscr{F}_{h}}\left|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C h^{l-1}|u|_{W^{l, p}(\Omega)}
$$

Finally, by interpolating the estimates of Corollaries 4 and 7 between two consecutive values of $k$, we can extend their results to noninteger values of $k$ :

Corollary 8. Assume that $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and real number $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $\bar{C}$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\forall u \in W^{s, p}(\Omega), \quad\left\|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C h^{s}\|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\Omega)}
$$

Corollary 9. Assume that $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and real number $s$ with $1 \leq s \leq k+1$, and for all numbers $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $\bar{C}$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\forall u \in W^{s, p}(\Omega), \quad\left(\sum_{T \in \mathscr{O}_{h}}\left|u-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{k}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C h^{s-1}\|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\Omega)}
$$

## 4. Application to quadrature formulas

Let $k=1$ and consider the standard finite element space

$$
\Theta_{h}=\left\{\theta_{h} \in \mathscr{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) ; \forall T \in \mathscr{T}_{h},\left.\theta_{h}\right|_{T} \in \mathscr{Q}_{1}(T)\right\}
$$

In solving elliptic boundary value problems, one often has to calculate terms of the form $\int_{T} \nabla u_{h} \cdot \nabla v_{h} d \mathbf{x}$ with $u_{h}$ and $v_{h}$ in $\Theta_{h}$. The exact computation of this integral is difficult because the integrand in each $T$ involves fractions in two variables. But exact computation is not necessary and we can approximate the integral by an appropriate quadrature formula. The most commonly used quadrature formula in this case is the two-dimensional extension of the "trapezoidal rule", called the "four-point" rule. We propose to show in this section that the error arising from the use of this quadrature formula is comparable to the interpolation error of the space $\Theta_{h}$, namely $O(h)$.

For any function $\hat{f}$ defined and continuous on $\widehat{T}$, we define the four-point quadrature rule by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathscr{S}}_{4}(\hat{f})=\frac{1}{4}(\hat{f}(0,0)+\hat{f}(1,0)+\hat{f}(1,1)+\hat{f}(0,1)) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, observing that

$$
\int_{T} f d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\widehat{T}} J_{T} f \circ F_{T} d \hat{\mathbf{x}}
$$

we define for any continuous function $f$ on $T$, the quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}_{4, T}(f)=\widehat{\mathscr{S}_{4}}\left(J_{T} f \circ F_{T}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, assume that the solution $u$ of the problem we want to solve belongs to $H^{2}(\Omega)$. Then it is continuous in $\Omega$ and we can define first $I_{T}(u)$ in each $T$, which is the classical interpolation operator in $\mathscr{Q}_{1}(T)$ defined by (cf. Ciarlet [2])

$$
I_{T}(u)\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right)=u\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq 4
$$

After this, we define $I_{h}(u) \in \Theta_{h}$ by

$$
\forall T \in \mathscr{T}_{h},\left.\quad I_{h}(u)\right|_{T}=I_{T}\left(\left.u\right|_{T}\right)
$$

The error arising from the integration formula (13), (14) involves in particular the difference

$$
\int_{T} \nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h} d \mathbf{x}-\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right)
$$

and this difference must be bounded in terms of $\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(T)}$. The next theorem establishes a slightly more general result.

Theorem 10. Assume that the quadrangulation $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular. For any number $p$ with $1<p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $u$ in $W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ the following bound holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall v_{h} \in \Theta_{h} \\
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(I_{h}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h} d \mathbf{x}-\sum_{T \in \mathscr{T}_{h}} \mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right)\right| \leq C h|u|_{W^{2, p}(\Omega)}\left|v_{h}\right|_{W^{1}, q(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 / p+1 / q=1$.
Proof. First note that $I_{h}(u)$ is well defined because $W^{2, p}(\Omega) \subset \mathscr{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1<p \leq \infty$. For any $T$ in $\mathscr{T}_{h}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T} \nabla & \left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h} d \mathbf{x}-\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right) \\
& =\int_{T} \nabla\left(I_{T}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h} d \mathbf{x}-\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\nabla\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right)$ is a constant vector and each component of the vector function $\nabla v_{h}$ is integrated exactly by the quadrature formula:

$$
\forall v_{h} \in \Theta_{h}, \quad \int_{T} \nabla \dot{v}_{h} d \mathbf{x}=\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla v_{h}\right)
$$

Now, it can be easily checked that

$$
\left|\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right)\right| \leq C_{1}\left|I_{T}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}\left|v_{h}\right|_{W^{1, q}(T)}
$$

with a constant $C_{1}$ independent of the geometry of $T$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mid \int_{T} \nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h} & d \mathbf{x}-\mathscr{S}_{4, T}\left(\nabla\left(I_{T}(u)\right) \cdot \nabla v_{h}\right) \mid \\
\leq\left(1+C_{1}\right)\left|I_{T}(u)-\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)}\left|v_{h}\right|_{W^{1, q}(T)}
\end{array}
$$

On one hand, as $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is regular, Theorem 6 applied with $l=2$ implies that

$$
\left|\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)-u\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq C_{2} h_{T}|u|_{W^{2, p}(T)},
$$

with a constant $C_{2}$ independent of the geometry of $T$. On the other hand, a standard result of finite element interpolation yields (cf. Ciarlet [2])

$$
\left|I_{T}(u)-u\right|_{W^{1, p}(T)} \leq C_{3} h_{T}|u|_{W^{2, p}(T)},
$$

whence the desired result.
The operator $\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}$ plays a crucial part in this proof. If $\nabla\left(\widetilde{I}_{T}^{1}(u)\right)$ were not constant, the same estimate for the quadrature error would require that the derivative of the Jacobian $J_{F}$ be small with respect to $h^{2}$. This holds if $T$ is nearly a parallelogram but not if $T$ is an arbitrary quadrilateral.

This proof has been written in the particular case where $k=1$, because finite elements of degree one are most commonly used in practice, but clearly, the above result extends readily to finite elements of degree $k$ and the same type of integration formulas of order $k$.
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